

[153] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2297 of 2022
Date of Decision :09.11.2022

Summy Sindhu and another ...Petitioners

versus

Dr. Sonia Tarikha, Director General Health
Services, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula, Haryana.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 22.08.2022, in CWP No.18234 of 2022 in case titled as '**Summy Sindhu and another**versus**State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.18234 of 2022 was disposed of vide order dated 22.08.2022 by directing the respondent to look into the pending representation dated 20.07.2022 and to pass an administrative order in respect thereto, in accordance with law.

[3] At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of speaking order dated 05.11.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioners as contained in the representation filed by the petitioners. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that in view of order dated 05.11.2022, he

does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to challenge order dated 05.11.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.11.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>