

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-53425-2021
Date of Decision: 04.08.2022**

Vipin Kumar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate, for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has stated on instructions from SI Balkar Singh that in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 21.12.2021 the petitioner has already joined investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated the petitioner has already joined investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 21.12.2021 the petitioner has already handed over a demand draft of Rs. 5,00,000/- to the I.O. This fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel.

He further submitted that the parties had appeared before the

Mediation and Conciliation Centre also but the mediation process has failed.

In view of the aforesaid position and the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 21.12.2021 is hereby made absolute.

This petition was directed to be heard alongwith CRM-M-52248-2021. Since the learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has made a statement that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation, this petition was taken up today separately and consequently, this petition is segregated from CRM-M-52248-2021.

04.08.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No