

[170] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-2332-2022

Date of Decision :27.10.2022

Chhoti Devi ...Petitioner

versus

Virat, HCS, Secretary, HSSC, Panchkula.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 21.03.2022, in CWP No.5546 of 2022 in case titled as '**Chhoti Devi versus State of Haryana and another**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.5546 of 2022 was disposed of vide order dated 21.03.2022 by directing the respondent to consider the grievance of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 22.02.2022, as also in view of the contentions raised in CWP No.5546 of 2022 and to take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law within 30 days.

[3] At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 29.07.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 22.02.2022, as also on the basis of averments made in the writ petition. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied

to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, she does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 29.07.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.10.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No