

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-53318 of 2021
Date of Decision:07.01.2022

Biru @ Kancha

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of U.T. Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Anand, APP, U.T. Chandigarh

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

[It is to be noticed that though the petition has been described to be the 2nd such petition in the head-note, the Registry has pointed out that he had also filed two petitions earlier, but with learned counsel submitting that the description in the head-note is an inadvertent error because he has annexed the orders passed in both the petitions alongwith the petition itself, which is seen to be so.]

January 07, 2022
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No