

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CR-3462-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.04.2022

Tarlok Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Kashmir Kaur and Another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate for the petitioner.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that suit for partition was filed by the petitioner-herein, who was about 80 years of age in the year 2010. Thereafter, preliminary decree was drawn up on 09.02.2016 and the final decree was drawn up on 27.02.2020. The defendant-respondents were ex-parte throughout the proceedings. Now in the appeal filed by the defendant-respondents, status quo has been directed to be maintained regarding possession, construction and alienation. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that he does not wish to press the revision petition on merits, however, he prays that keeping in view the fact that petitioner, who is above 80 years and has been litigating since 2010, the hearing of the appeal may be expedited.

I find the request of learned counsel for the petitioner to be fair.

Accordingly, in view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as not pressed with a request to the Appellate Court to expedite the hearing of the

appeal and decide the same preferably within a period of six months from the date of passing of this order.

April 04, 2022
tripti

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE : Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : YES/NO