

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-3838-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.09.2022

Krishan Kumar

....Appellant

Versus

Harphool Dass and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. H.S.Bedi, Advocate for the appellant

Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Harshvardhan Ranga, Advocate for respondent no.1

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

While assailing the concurrent findings of fact, arrived at by the courts below, the defendant has filed the present appeal. The plaintiff's suit for grant of decree of permanent injunction, restraining defendant no.1 from interfering in his peaceful possession, has been decreed by both the courts below. It has been asserted that defendant no.1 is a police officer, who wants to construct a house, on the prime area of the joint unpartitioned property.

Both the courts have decreed the suit. There is no evidence of partition of the property between the various family members.

Heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook.

Learned counsel representing the appellant fails to draw the attention of the Court to any substantive error or perversity in the judgments passed by the courts below.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also
disposed of.

05.09.2022
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE