

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

Civil Writ Petition No.26587 of 2021
Date of Decision: January 19th, 2022

Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Written statement by respondent No.4 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 has been received through email from the counsel for the State of Haryana along with annexures, which is taken on record.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the reason why the matter is being adjourned is on the pretext that the proforma respondents are not being served and it has been stated that now the said proforma respondents are to be served through *munadi* and the appeal is listed for hearing on 27.01.2022. Counsel for the petitioner states that he gives up the aspect of service of proforma respondents as contesting respondent is the Gram Panchayat, which has been duly served and the counsel is representing the said respondent.

In the light of the above, we dispose of the present writ petition with directions to the Collector, 1st Grade, Sonapat, the Appellate Authority, that in case the reply to the application for condonation of delay is not filed by the Gram Panchayat, a week's time may be granted for filing the same

and thereafter proceed to consider and decide the application for condonation of delay and in case the said application is accepted, to proceed and decide the application for stay/appeal on the date as fixed.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

January 19th, 2022
Puneet

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No