

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M No.53136 of 2021

Date of Decision: January 06, 2022

**Hardeep Singh**

.....Petitioner(s)

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Ganesh Chand Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).  
Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.  
Mr. Kunal Malhotra, Advocate for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

----

**ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)**

Vide order dated 20.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the incident took place on 17.10.2021 whereas FIR was registered on 21.10.2021. He however contends that it was not a case where injured was unconscious or medically unfit to lodge complaint. Furthermore, in the FIR injuries were on the left leg and not on the abdomen.

3. On the contrary, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He based on the reply argues that doctor has found injuries on the abdomen and thigh of the injured. However, he further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Without going into the further details, it is sufficient that initially report of the complainant did not mention about the injuries on the abdomen but only confined injuries on the legs and he did not say that he was not fit state of mind. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, interim order dated 20.12.2021 is made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE**

**January 06, 2022**

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: No