

216/2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52575-2021
Date of decision-17.03.2022**

Baljinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. S.P. Singh, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.81 dated 07.06.2021 under Sections 341, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 (later on added Section 307) of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar, Kotkapura, District Faridkot, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 21.12.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that in the alleged occurrence, both sides had suffered injuries and initially, the FIR bearing No.81 dated 07.06.2021, under Sections 341, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station

Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot was registered on the basis of statement given by Rajinder Singh @ Kala and subsequently, the petitioners were implicated in cross version recorded on the complaint given by injured Kala Singh s/o Sham Singh through DDR No.37 dated 09.06.2021, registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC. According to him, the parties have settled the dispute and in this regard, he has invited the attention of the Court to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

At this stage, Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of complainant and does not dispute the fact that the parties have amicably settled the dispute.

Notice of motion for 17.03.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by SI Lakhwinder Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not

required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.12.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.03.2022
geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No