

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52697-2021

Decided on: 15th February, 2022

Rani

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 331 dated 07.10.2020, under Sections 396, 201, 193, 194, 195, 203, 211, 419, 420, 435, 302, 120-B, 212 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3(2) (v) (va) of The Schedule Caste & Schedule Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities Act) 1989 registered at Police Station Sadar, Hansi, Tehsil Hansi, District Hisar.
3. As per the allegations in the FIR, the accused had conspired to claim insurance amount showing death of Ram Mehar. Ramlu along with the car was burnt. The allegations against the petitioner is that she was one of the conspirator. Petitioner was previously in contact with co-accused Ram Mehar regarding hatching the conspiracy in committing this crime and car bearing registration No.HR26AQ 6236 in which the co-accused Ram Mehar fled away to Chattisgarh was already parked in the house of co-accused Radha and the alleged car was in the name of the petitioner. The

alleged car was recovered from the possession of co-accused Naveen. During course of inquiry it was revealed that a sum of Rs.1,40,000/- and Rs.2,50,0000/- have been found deposited in the bank account of the petitioner on 5th October, 2020 and 6th October, 2020 respectively.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 12.10.2020. No active role has been attributed to the petitioner, investigation is complete and co-accused were granted bail by this Court.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She submits that petitioner was conspirator along with other co-accused. She submits that there are thirty-six prosecution witnesses out of which eight have been examined. She further submits that amount was deposited in the bank account of the petitioner.

6. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the nature of allegations and the fact that investigation is complete, co-accused were granted bail by this Court and conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/ heavy surety to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. The petition is allowed.

9. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

15th February, 2022

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned
2. Whether reportable

: Yes /No
: Yes /No