

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 116

Date of Decision: *October 27, 2022*

1.

Civil Revision No.4689 of 2022

Bijender @ Vijender Kumar

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Hari Ram & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

2.

Civil Revision No.4691 of 2022

Bijender @ Vijender Kumar

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Hari Ram & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

This judgment shall dispose of afore-mentioned two petitions as they arise of same impugned order, and involve similar questions of law and facts.

These revision petitions have been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside Order dated 26.09.2022, whereby the suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff has been dismissed as withdrawn. It was further ordered that Court fee be refunded to the plaintiff as per rules.

The plaintiff had made a statement to the effect that he did not wish to pursue the present suit due to some technical mistake and wanted to withdraw the same. On his statement, the trial Court dismissed the suit as withdrawn and liberty was granted, if the law so permitted.

No ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

October 27, 2022
avin

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No