

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-53002-2021
Decided on : 08.02.2022**

Shubham Mishra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

PRESENT: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No. 22 dated 12.02.2021 under Sections 302 and 201 of IPC registered at Police Station Goraya, District Jalandhar Rural.

Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, even Renu, wife of the deceased, who has named the present petitioner as the accused, has not been examined and even Dr. Rupinder Kaur has not been examined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition, at this stage. He has further made a prayer that since there are 35 witnesses, thus, the trial Court be directed to examine the said Renu and Dr. Rupinder Kaur at the first instance as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage with liberty to file fresh petition after examination of said Renu and Dr. Rupinder Kaur.

The trial Court is directed to examine the said Renu and Dr. Rupinder Kaur as expeditiously as possible and prior to the examination of other witnesses.

It is made clear that when a fresh application for bail would be filed, the same would be considered independently on its merits, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 8th, 2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No