

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

CRM-M-52620-201 (O&M)

Date of decision: 3.3.2022

Nirmal Singh Bhullar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L.Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate, for
Mr. Lovepreet Handa, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.221 dated 23.11.2021 registered under Sections 458, 336, 506 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib on the basis of compromise dated 25.11.2021 (Annexure P-2).

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Manpreet Jain in which she stated that the petitioner was having certain dispute with her husband Lokaish Kumar and on 22.11.2021, respondent No.2 was present in the grocery store of her husband and at that time, the petitioner came there and started abusing her and also fired with his pistol and then went away.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court

through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3)

23.11.2021 registered under Sections 458, 336, 506 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

March 3, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No