

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52868-2022

Date of Decision: 16.11.2022

BALKARAN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

HARMEET SINGH

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C is seeking quashing of order dated 26.09.2022 (Annexure P-4) whereby learned JMIC, Sirsa in Case No. NACT/274/2017 dated 28.03.2017 has rejected application of the petitioner filed under Section 311 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application was filed to seek opinion of handwriting expert with respect to handwriting on the body of the cheque. He submits that learned Trial Court has wrongly dismissed the application of the petitioner. The findings recorded by learned Trial Court read as:

“Accordingly, even if there is any subsequent filling over the body of cheque by the complainant or Chiranji Lal, Commission Agent, that may not affect the validity of cheque. In the present case also, signatures over the cheque is duly admitted by the accused, the filling of remaining body of cheque by

someone else will not be germane to the decision of case on merits. Accordingly, the application in hand is considered and dismissed. It after due completion and registration be tagged with main case file. Let the matter be listed for DWs and arguments for 19.10.2022.”

I have gone through the findings recorded by learned Trial Court and do not find any infirmity warranting interference at this stage.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.11.2022
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>