

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-24618-2022

Date of Decision : **October 27, 2022**

SAVITA YADAV

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present : Ms. Priya, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI. J. (Oral)

The learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was directly recruited as a JBT Teacher in the year 2008 and was later on promoted as TGT English Teacher on 17.7.2018. Learned counsel argues that the seniority position of the petitioner is 12663, which is clear from the order confirming the petitioner, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-3 and now the respondents have passed an order dated 13.4.2022 (Annexure P-2) promoting the TGT Social Studies and English Teachers to the post of PGT but the petitioner has not been promoted whereas, juniors to the petitioner have been promoted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is entitled for promotion as, she is fully eligible for the post of PGT English, with all consequential benefits with effect from the date the juniors of the petitioner have been promoted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner before the authority concerned vide representation dated 19.9.2022, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-4 and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 19.9.2022 Annexure P-4 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 19.9.2022 Annexure P-4 within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order and in case the grievance of the petitioner is found meritorious, the appropriate relief be given to her within a further period of four weeks.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 27, 2022

ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No