

[126 & 128]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[1] COCP-2278-2022(O&M)
Date of Decision :14.12.2022

Parmdip Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Harjinder Singh Dhami and anotherRespondents

[2] COCP-2283-2022 (O&M)

Satinder Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Harjinder Singh Dhami and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in
COCP-2278-2022&COCP-2283-2022.

Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate with
Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Vishal Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents in COCP-2278-2022&
COCP-2283-2022.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] This order shall decide COCP-2278-2022 and COCP-2283-
2022, as compliance of Annexure P-1, dated 03.08.2022, in CWP-14823-
2022 in case titled as '*Parmdip Singh versus Shriomani Gurudwara
Parbandhak Committee and another is being sought in both cases.*

[2] Facts of the case(s) are being taken from COCP-2278-2022.

[3] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against

P-1, dated 03.08.2022, in CWP-14823-2022 in case titled as 'Parmdip Singh versus Shriomani Gurudwara Parbandhak Committee and another.

[4] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP-14823-2022 was disposed of on the statement of learned counsel for the respondents that departmental proceedings which had been initiated would be concluded within two months.

[5] Pursuant to the notice issued, learned counsel for the respondents has produced copy of order dated 13.12.2022, as also orders dated 09.12.2022 and 12.12.2022 by the SGPC, terminating the services of the petitioner(s). Same are taken on record and copy thereof handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner(s), who on perusal of the same states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner(s) to challenge order dated 09.12.2022 and 12.12.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[6] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner(s), the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[7] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.12.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No