

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

RA-CW-321-2021 in CWP-18808-2021

RAMPAL AND OTHERS VS. STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Sandeep Siwach, Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Prayer in this application is for review/clarification of the order dated 11.10.2021 to the extent whereby in the order it has inadvertently been mentioned that the claim of the petitioners under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, for being declared as owners stands rejected would not be correct as a matter of fact. It is asserted that the petition under Section 13-A of the said Act is still pending and only the application for stay of dispossession filed by the applicants-petitioners had been dismissed.

This assertion of the counsel for the applicants-petitioners is found to be correct and accordingly, we clarify the order dated 11.10.2021 to the extent that the said order shall not be read to the extent of holding that the order in a petition under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, rejecting the claim of the petitioners for being declared as owners of the land has been passed, rather the said order shall now be read as that the claim of the petitioners in an application for grant of stay in a pending petition under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, has been rejected.

With this clarification, the present application stands disposed of.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

January 7th, 2022
Puneet

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**