

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-52454-2021
Decided on: 05.01.2022

Lakhvir Singh @ Lakha @ Lakhwinder Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishu Bajaj, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The petitioner, apprehending arrest in FIR No.184 dated 09.10.2021, registered under Sections 379, 411 & 482 IPC at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala, came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 16.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 16.12.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. On instructions from ASI Rajender, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is required for further investigation. He further opposed the bail and submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has also opposed the bail.

6. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required.

Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to

this case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 16.12.2021, is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so. Failure to do so, complainant as well as State are at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

05.01.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No