

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.103

**CM-10957-CWP-2022 in/and
CWP-27896-2019 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: 29.07.2022

Sangeeta

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Kiranpal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM-10957-CWP-2022

The present application has been filed seeking preponement of the hearing of main petition, which now stands adjourned to 22.09.2022.

Notice of the application.

Dr. Kiranpal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection to the prayer made in the present application.

Keeping in view the above, the present application is allowed and the main petition is preponed to today itself.

CWP No.27896 of 2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was transferred vide transfer orders dated 22.08.2019 (Annexure P-3) outside the cadre, hence, the same has been impugned in the present petition.

While issuing notice of motion, the operation of the impugned order was stayed and the petitioner continued to work at the same place of posting.

Learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is already been working at the same place of posting for an approximately three years under the orders of this Court, hence the impugned order will not be implemented qua the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the second prayer of the petitioner is that she should be considered under the transfer policy, which is going to be effected in the year 2022.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, the petitioner is eligible under the policy of 2022 and applies for consideration of her case, appropriate consideration will be given to the petitioner in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the aforesaid statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

29.07.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No