

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202)

CWP-27923 of 2019

Date of Decision: 26.08.2022

Sheela Devi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhar, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the petitioner challenges the order dated 06.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) on the ground that the same is contrary to the transfer policy dated 30.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) as amended on 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-2).

The co-ordinate Bench of this Court while issuing notice of motion on 27.09.2019 had stayed the relieving of the petitioner meaning thereby that the petitioner continued to remain posted at a place where she was prior to the issuance of the impugned order.

The learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is already working at the place prior to the issuance of the impugned order, he has instructions to submit that the impugned order will not be given effect to qua the petitioner any further so the department be given liberty to consider the petitioner for transfer in accordance with law henceforth.

Keeping in view the above, as the respondents have already stated that the impugned order will not be given to any effect any further, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such with liberty to the respondents as prayed for.

August 26, 2022
dinesh

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No