

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.209

CRM-M-52560-2021

Date of decision: 28.04.2022

Inderjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Ranghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.178 dated 21.10.2021 registered under Sections 498-A, 506 IPC at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur.

On December 16, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.178 dated 21.10.2021 under Sections 498-A and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that totally false and fabricated allegations have been levelled against the petitioner by his wife Lovepret Kaur. Learned counsel submits that it is a matter of record that soon after the petitioner's marriage with the complainant on 10.02.2020, he had left for Brunei where he had been working prior to his marriage. Learned counsel submits that the complainant had prior to the registration of the FIR levelled allegations of mental and physical harassment against the petitioner's family which on inquiry were found to be without any substance. He further submits that it is one year thereafter, the FIR in

question was got registered wherein she levelled allegations of mental and physical harassment against the petitioner on account of alleged dowry demands even though as already submitted, the petitioner was away to Brunei.

Notice of motion.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 16.12.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

April 28, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No