

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**TA No.1252 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.10.2022**

Bhawana

....Petitioner

Versus

Prince Kumar

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Abhinav Kalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Banwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for transfer of the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending in the Family Court, Ambala to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Yamuna Nagar.

Vide order dated 16.12.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner-wife would have to travel a distance of about 110 kilometres (up & down) from her place of residence in District Yamuna Nagar in order to attend to the divorce proceedings initiated by the respondent-husband under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in the Court of Principal Judge (Family Court), Ambala bearing case No.HMA/1108/2021 and, hence, she would not be able to properly defend her case on each and every date of hearing. It is further contended that three other cases initiated by the petitioner – (i) a petition under Section 125 CrPC for grant of maintenance, (ii) a petition under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, and (iii) FIR No.332 of 2021 at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Yamuna Nagar – are already pending at Yamuna Nagar. The further contention

is that the two minor sons born out of the wedlock are also being looked after by the petitioner.

Notice of motion returnable 21.01.2022.

Dasti as well. Liberty to serve through the counsel representing the respondent in the Court below.

Meanwhile, till the next date only, the concerned Court below shall adjourn the matter beyond the date given by this Court.”

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that on account of a matrimonial discord, the respondent/husband has filed the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Ambala.

Counsel for the petitioner has also argued that on account of a petition filed by the respondent/husband, the petitioner is facing great difficulty in prosecuting the said case as there is a distance of about 60 Kms from Yamuna Nagar to Ambala.

Counsel for the petitioner has further contended that the petitioner is having two minor children, who are living in her care and custody and she is facing difficulty to defend the case as she has to travel from Yamuna Nagar to Ambala.

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgments **“Sumita Singh vs Kumar Sanjay”, 2002 SC 396** and **“Rajani Kishor Pardeshi vs Kishor Babulal Pardeshi”, 2005(12) SCC 237**, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court has observed that *while deciding the transfer application, the Courts are required to give more weightage and consideration to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another should ordinarily be allowed, taking into consideration their convenience and the Courts should desist from putting female litigants under undue*

hardships.”

Counsel for the petitioner has also relied upon the judgment “*N.C.V. Aishwarya vs A.S. Saravana Karthik Sha,*” 2022 Live Law (SC) 627, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

9. The cardinal principle for exercise of power under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.

10. Further, when two or more proceedings are pending in different Courts between the same parties which raise common question of fact and law, and when the decisions in the cases are interdependent, it is desirable that they should be tried together by the same Judge so as to avoid multiplicity in trial of the same issues and conflict of decisions.”

It is well settled that while considering the transfer of a matrimonial dispute/case at the instance of the wife, the Court is to consider the family condition of the wife, the custody of the minor child, economic condition of the wife, her physical health and earning capacity of the husband and most important the convenience of the wife i.e. she cannot travel alone without assistance of a male member of her family, connectivity of the place to and fro from her place of residence as well as bearing of the litigation charges and travelling expenses.

Counsel for the respondent has, however, not disputed the factual position but opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner.

On a Court query, counsel for the respondent submits that he has not initiated any proceedings with regard to custody of the minor children and they are living in the care and custody of the petitioner/wife.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the fact that the petitioner/wife will have to bear the litigation expenses and transportation expenses and in view of the judgments i.e. ***Sumita Singh's case (supra)***, ***Rajani Kishor Pardeshi's case (supra)*** and ***N.C.V. Aishwarya's case (supra)*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court deem it appropriate to allow the present petition, subject to the following conditions:-

1. *The petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending before the Family Court, Ambala will be transferred to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Yamuna Nagar.*
2. *The District Judge, Yamuna Nagar, will assign the said petition to the competent Court of jurisdiction.*
3. *The Family Court, Ambala is directed to transfer all the record pertaining to the aforesaid case to District Judge, Yamuna Nagar.*
4. *The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court, Yamuna Nagar, within a period of 01 month from today.*
5. *The Family Court, Yamuna Nagar will make all endeavour to refer the case before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre for exploring the possibility of some amicable settlement between the parties.*

6. *The Court concerned, where the litigation pending between the parties, will accommodate them with one date in one calendar month.*

Disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.10.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No