

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23970 of 2022
Date of Decision:-18.10.2022**

Roshan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

The short question for consideration in the present case is that the ward, which has been reserved for BCA category, does not have the BCA votes.

This aspect has been clarified by notification dated 02.09.2022 whereby as per Section 9 sub-section (4) of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, it has been clarified that for the purpose of reservation under the BCA category, from the total wards, reservation benefit is to be given to the SC category and those wards have been deleted and from the remaining wards after taking into account the percentage of BCA after deleting the wards reserved for SC category the wards which have been reserved for BCA from

all the wards which remain after deleting the number of SC wards by draw of lots, the wards will be picked up and reserved for BCA criteria does not involve give benefit of reservation as per the BCA population.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

October 18, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No