

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

230

CRM-M-53126-2021

Date of decision : 15.02.2022

RAJESH KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. S.K.Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No. 1/State.

Ms. Aastha Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

Petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No. 464 dated 30.12.2020 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'the IPC') registered at Police Station Sector-31, District Faridabad as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 20.12.2021, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to record their statements with regard to

the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report dated 17.01.2022 of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad has been received, wherein it is stated that there were total four accused persons in the FIR but charge sheet has been filed only against the present accused-petitioner-Rajesh Kumar and the other three accused were exonerated during the course of investigation.

It has been further submitted in the report that in pursuance of the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsels appearing on behalf of both the parties admit that parties have settled their disputes.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of the Court settlement by way of compromise (Annexure P-3). The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, the present petition is allowed. Resultantly, FIR No. 464 dated 30.12.2020 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC registered at Police Station

Sector-31, District Faridabad, as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner.

15.02.2022
kavneet singh

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No