

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48556-2022

Date of Decision: 14.11.2022

Gagandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr.Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.GS Sandhu, DAG, Punjab

Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for complainant

...

This is a repeat (second) petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.101 dated 29.04.2022 registered under Sections 420/506 IPC at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. GS Sandhu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Briefly stated, present case has been registered on the complaint moved by Mandeep Devgan son of Surinder Singh to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, alleging therein that he is running his firm under the name of Surindera Agro Mills and is dealing in the business of supplying wood. Gagandeep Singh and Lovedeep Singh, who are proprietors of M/s Lokedeep Plywood and Timber Merchant, Amritsar, purchased wood from him on 14.09.2021 and 23.09.2021 on credit. But they did not pay any amount to him. Neither they paid any amount nor they returned the wood taken by them. They also started threatening the complainant. After an inquiry of the abovesaid complaint, present FIR has been registered against the petitioner and other co-accused

for committing cheating of Rs.13,67,000/-.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the State and perused the paper-book.

Earlier the petitioner filed petition being CRM-M-25205-2022 for grant of anticipatory bail to him, which was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 8.9.2022 by taking into consideration the conduct of the petitioner and seriousness of the allegations levelled against him.

Now, it is not disputed that there is no substantial change in the circumstances after rejection of the earlier bail application filed by the petitioner before this Court. In this view of the matter, this second bail application cannot be entertained by this Court and the same is hereby dismissed.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

14.11.2022
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No