

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

RFA-543-2016 (O&M) and connected cases

Date of decision: 18.02.2022

HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL & INFRASTRUCTURE

DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED

..Appellant

Versus

RAKESH VERMA AND ORS.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. PS Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate for the HSIIDC.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate

Mr. YS Turka, Advocate

Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate

Mr. JS Cooner, Advocate

Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate

Mr. Jasmer Chand, Advocate

for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video
conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

CM No.1434-CI of 2016 in RFA No.543 of 2016

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed
and the delay of 65 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Main Cases

A batch of 39 appeals has been listed with an office note that

the service of notice is not complete in these appeals.

It is evident that the Reference Court clubbed 261 petitions filed under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, and the evidence was led by all the parties in LAC Petition No.288 (295) of 2011 (Smt. Kiran Bala vs. State of Haryana and another). The entire batch was decided vide judgment dated 18.03.2015.

The various appeals filed by the landowners as well as the Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation have already been decided vide judgment dated 13.12.2021 in RFA No.5100 of 2015 (HSI IDC vs. Prem Parkash and another).

Learned counsel for the HSI IDC has stated that in these appeals no separate evidence was led.

It has been noticed that due to the non-completion of service, these appeals remain pending in this Court. In the absence of separate evidence, the chances of any additional/new arguments are remote.

Moreover, the judgment dated 13.12.2021 has been passed after hearing the respected learned counsel for the parties and examining the lower Court record.

Hence, these appeals are disposed of in terms of the judgment

passed in **RFA No.5100 of 2015 ((HSI IDC vs. Prem Parkash and another)**). However, the landowners shall have the liberty to file an application for revival, if they feel aggrieved.

All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

18.02.2022

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE