

CRM-M-52239-2021

Date of decision: 02.08.2022

ROSHANDEEP SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Parties are also present in person. With the assistance of the learned counsels, efforts have been made for amicable settlement but the same could not materialize.

On 15.12.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 9 dated 16.11.2021 under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC registered at Women Police Station, District Malerkotla.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there are general allegations levelled in the FIR, as per the allegations, the complainant was turned out of the matrimonial house on 31.10.2020 and the FIR has been lodged after a lapse of period of more than 10 months. He has also expressed his willingness to amicably settled the matter through mediation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. Timmi S. Granal, Advocate, has also put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and very fairly stated that there are chances of amicable settlement between the parties and the

matter may be referred to the Mediation Centre at Sangrur, wherein, the complainant is presently residing.

The parties are directed to appear before the mediation centre at Sangrur on 18.01.2022.

Report be awaited for 17.03.2022.

In order to facilitate the participation of the petitioner in the mediation proceedings, it is directed that in the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from ASI Harpreet Singh, learned State counsel has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspects and states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 15.12.2021, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is allowed.

02.08.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No