

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135)

CWP-24066-2022

Date of Decision : October 18, 2022

Jyoti Anand

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Attri, Advocate, for
Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the petitioner has not been able to secure the marks as secured by the last selected candidate but keeping in view the number of posts advertised, some of the candidates, who were part of the select list, have not joined the post, therefore, the claim of the petitioner needs to be considered by the respondents for appointment to the post of PGT Commerce.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-8), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-8) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

October 18, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No