

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP No. 25516 of 2021
Date of Decision : 20.09.2022

Arvind

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working with the respondent-department but is not being paid the salary for which he is entitled for despite the fact that he has been discharging the duties required for. The prayer of the petitioner is that petitioner's salary be got released and he be allowed to discharge the duties of the post for which he was appointed.

On being asked, as to where is the appointment letter of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to prove that State of Haryana is his employer. Further, no salary slip has been produced to substantiate the fact that the petitioner was discharging the duties for which he was being paid by the department concerned directly.

Be that as it may, as the prayer of the petitioner is for release of

his salary and for continuance in service on the ground that he is the employee of respondent No. 4, the petitioner is allowed to make appropriate representation to respondent No. 4 raising the said grievance. In case, any such grievance is raised by the petitioner, let the same be decided within a period of eight weeks by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

September 20, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No