

[141] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2254 of 2022
Date of Decision :22.11.2022

Raj Rani ...Petitioner

versus

Deepak Lahoriya, Sr. Superintendent
of Police, GurdaspurRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Harmeet Kaur Chanan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-2, dated 29.08.2022, in CRM-M No.38685 of 2022 in case titled as '**Raj Rani versus State of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-2) reveals that CRM-M No.38685 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondent to look into the representation of the petitioner dated 19.08.2022 and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that order (Annexure P-2) dated 29.08.2022, in CRM-M No.38685 of 2022 has been complied with and claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 19.08.2022 has been considered and rejected vide order dated 10.11.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, she does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 10.11.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.11.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No