

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CWP-25629-2021
Date of decision : 23.03.2022**

DEVTA PARSHAD

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM (HVPN) LTD AND ANR

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was initially appointed as a Store-mate on 10.09.1974 and he worked on the said post on ad-hoc basis till 15.11.1977, when he was asked to work as store Munshi and on the said post of store Munshi, the petitioner continued working till her services were regularized on 11.03.1981 and ultimately, the petitioner retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.11.2014 but service rendered by him prior to 11.03.1981 has not been taken into account as a qualifying service, which is contrary to the settled principle of law settled by the full Bench of this Court in **Kesar Chand Vs. State of Haryana.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance as raised in the present petition has also been raised by the petitioner by serving

a representation dated 11.11.2019 (Annexure P/2) with the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondent No.2 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that the representation dated 11.11.2019 (Annexure P/2) submitted by the petitioner will be decided within a period of eight weeks from today and in case, after the decision, it is found that any action needs to be taken, the same will also be taken without any further delay.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation dated 11.11.2019 (Annexure P/2) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

23.03.2022

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No