

CRM-M-47863-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47863-2022

Date of decision:14.12.2022

Arshdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.84 dated 16.07.2022, registered at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur, under Sections 379-B, 34, 201 and 411 IPC.

Status report dated 12.12.2022, by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Dhuri, District Sangrur, filed in the Court today, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged occurrence took place on 15.07.2022 whereas the above-noted FIR was registered on 16.07.2022 i.e. after a delay of 01 day; that on 16.07.2022, the complainant somehow managed to get falsely implicated the petitioner and the co-accused in the present case, and that recovery of mobile phone and Aadhar Card of the complainant had allegedly been effected from the petitioner. Learned counsel further contends that charges have been framed; that the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined and the petitioner has been in custody since 16.07.2022. Moreover, there is no

CRM-M-47863-2022

other case registered or pending against the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that when the complainant reached near furniture shop, two scooter-borne miscreants had snatched her purse containing Rs.5,000/-, one mobile phone make Redmi 8, *Aadhar* Card, ATC Card etc. and thereafter fled the scene; that later on, after watching the video on social media, complainant inquired into the matter and had come to know that her purse had been snatched by the petitioner and co-accused, namely, Harpreet Singh @ Baggu. Learned State counsel further contends that both the accused were arrested and had been identified by the complainant.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Charges have been framed. The petitioner has been in custody since 16.07.2022. The prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. There is no other case registered or pending against the petitioner. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

14.12.2022

parveen kumar

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No