

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CRWP-9872-2022

Decided on : 17.10.2022

Sakinderjit Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Petitioner No.1 – Sakinderjit Kaur, aged about 27 years and petitioner No.2 – Taranjeet Singh, aged about 30 years, have got married on 12.10.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. Learned counsel also submits that petitioners have submitted a representation dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), expressing their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. JS Arora, DAG, Punjab, accepts

notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar, to look into the representation dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

October 17, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*