

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP-6261-2022

Date of Decision : April 06, 2022

Prem Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was initially appointed as a Steno Typist in the Agriculture Department in the year 1983 and thereafter, he was deputed to the District Collector Office in the year 1991 as Steno Typist from which office, the petitioner ultimately retired on 31.12.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time when the petitioner was transferred from the Agriculture Department to the Office of District Collector, his pay was not fixed in accordance with Rule 4.4. (b) of the Punjab Civil Services Rules applicable to Haryana and therefore, his pay was not correctly fixed, which grievance is being faced by the petitioner even as of now though, more than five years have elapsed since the petitioner has retired.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has raised the said grievance before respondent No.2 vide

representation dated 21.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) and thereafter by reminder dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-5), which are still pending consideration with respondent No. 2 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

April 06, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No