

[107] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.3473 of 2019
Date of Decision : 23.08.2022

Gurdeep Singh son of Shri
Sardara Singh and another ...Petitioners

versus

Krishan Kumar, Principal Secretary,
School Education, Punjab.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Mukesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 19.11.2012, in COCP No.1231 of 2012 in case titled as '**Gian Singh and others** versus **Shri Hussan Lal and another**'.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the decision of Civil Appeal Nos.3487-3492 of 2004 in case titled as '**State of Punjab and others** versus **Surinder Singh and others**', the respondents have passed order dated 02.11.2019, withdrawing the benefit of one premature increment granted conditionally, in accordance with law and to the extent provided for in the order of Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal Nos.3487-3492 of 2004 decided on 12.02.2015, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Punjab, contends that the instant petition

even otherwise is not maintainable, as the same is for violation of orders of Hon'ble the Supreme Court and grievance in respect thereto if any is not maintainable by way of the instant petition before this Court but before Hon'ble the Supreme Court.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.08.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No