

239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11682-2021

Date of decision: 10.01.2022

Sandeep Kaur and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Manku, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral):

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

[3] As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

[4] On 13th December, 2021, following order was passed:-

*“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only
at this stage.*

Mr. S.S. Deol, DAG, Punjab appearing on advance

notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 5.1.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

[5] Learned State counsel has sent through electronic medium statements of petitioner No.1 recorded by Deputy Commissioner of Police, Fazilka, print out of the same is taken on record. As per the statement filed, there is no threat perception and petitioners do not need any police protection.

[6] In view of statement filed, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

[7] Disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

10th January, 2022

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No