

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP-23867-2022

Date of Decision : November 10, 2022

Sudhir Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Short reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the order of transfer dated 28.08.2022 (Annexure P-3) as well as the order dated 20.09.2022 (Annexure P-8) by which, the representation filed by the petitioner against the said transfer, has been rejected.

As per the learned counsel for the petitioner, the reasons given for rejecting the claim of the petitioner are incorrect and the same is contrary to the factual aspect of the case.

In response to the order dated 17.10.2022, the respondents have filed the reply. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order dated 20.09.2022 (Annexure P-8) passed by the respondents on the

representation of the petitioner be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the order rejecting the claim of the petitioner has been withdrawn, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Let fresh order in accordance with law be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

November 10, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No