

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

CRM-M-52028-2021

Date of Decision: January 07, 2022

Ashwani Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 14.12.2021 this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to para No.5 of the bail petition. A perusal of the same *prime facie* probable makes a case for grant of bail.

3. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 14.12.2021 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall remain at a distance of 01 km away from the house of the petitioner till recording of victims' statement(s).

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 07, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: No