

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

**CRWP-11882-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 22, 2022**

KANWARJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. R. S. Khaira, Assistant AG, Punjab.

Mr. S. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of petitioner.

On 17.12.2021, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that respondent-authorities have not taken any decision on the representation dated 30.11.2021 (Annexure P-6) as submitted by the petitioner and the State was directed to file a status report in the matter.

Status report dated 14.03.2022 has been filed. A perusal of para No.3 of the status report reveals that the enquiry was conducted and it was found that civil cases are pending between the petitioner and respondent No.5 and it is further been stated that after making false entries in the Chowkidar Register of village, the petitioner and his brothers have falsely got mutated property of Bhupinder Kaur in their names for which respondent No.5 has also got registered FIR against the petitioner and his brothers in which trial is pending. It is revealed that no-

CRWP-11882-2021

:2:

action on the complaint of respondent No.5-complainant is warranted against the petitioner and his brothers as the matter is of civil nature. Thus, the allegations of respondent No.5 against the petitioner and his brothers were not found to be false and rather it was revealed that matter is of civil nature and no police action is warranted against respondent No.5-complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that two civil suits filed by the complainant against petitioner and his brothers are pending for 08.09.2022 for partition and compensation and one FIR lodged by the complainant against them is also pending for prosecution evidence on 29.08.2022.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no threat perception.

In view of the above, the petition is rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get this petition revived in case any cause of action survives.

August 22, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No