

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.52035 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 14.03.2022

Rohit @ Rocky

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.409 dated 04.07.2021, registered under Sections 379, 427, 452, 506 IPC and 3(2) (v) of the SC&ST Act, at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

The operative part of the order dated 14.12.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the complainant side has taken the land of the petitioner's family on lease for the purpose of setting up of a petrol pump and later on, after the death of the grandfather of the petitioner, namely Puran Singh, there was a dispute regarding possession over the land in dispute and on that account, by way of aggravation, the present FIR has been registered with the allegations that the petitioner has abused the complainant

in the name of his caste and has taken some money.

Learned counsel further submits co-accused/father of the petitioner, namely Labh Singh, was arrested and he has been granted the concession of regular bail by the Additional Sessions Judge noticing the fact that nothing was recovered from him.

Learned counsel further submits that in fact the dispute regarding the lease, which was executed by Puran Singh, has expired in the year 2018 and, therefore, the complainant side, in order to keep their illegal possession over the said land, has involved the petitioner and his family in a false case.

Notice of motion for 14.03.2022....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 14.12.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 14.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

14.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No