

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 24512 of 2022

Date of Decision : 21.10.2022

Rekha and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate and
Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the appointments to the petitioners were not given immediately when, similarly situated candidates of the other categories, were granted the said benefit and the petitioners were appointed in the year 2019 along with certain other candidates in pursuance to Advertisement No. 1 of 2012. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that similarly situated candidates have already been given the benefit of antedating their appointment from the date when the juniors were appointed vide order dated 02.09.2022 but the said benefit has not been given to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification. Learned counsel further submits that once, a benefit, which is being claimed by the petitioners in the present petition, has already been given by the respondents to the other similarly situated candidates, the respondents were under obligation to grant the said benefit to the petitioners

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P-7), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

October 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes

Whether reportable? No