

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11722-2021
Date of Decision:-18.1.2022

MEENA RANI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Randeep Singh Waraich, Advocate
for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

The petitioner has moved this application under Articles
226/227 for issuance of direction especially in the nature of Mandamus
directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and
members of her family. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that
representation/complaint dated 2.12.2019 (Annexure P-1) in this regard has
also been moved before the concerned authorities, but no action has been
taken as of now.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 at this stage.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G. Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 4.

The counsel for the petitioner submitted that he will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent No.2 to take action on the aforesaid representation/complaint dated 2.12.2019 (Annexure P-1).

State counsel submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In the light of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 2.12.2019 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within a period of next one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

18.1.2022
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No