

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 52215 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.02.2022**

Monu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, by filing the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 201 of 04.06.2019, which was registered against him, at Police Station Old Sabji Mandi, Rohtak, District Rohtak, constituting therein offences under Sections 323, 324, 452, 307 & 506 of the IPC.

2. The bail applicant-petitioner is stated to be suffering judicial incarceration since 10.06.2019.

3. The learned State Counsel, on instructions, meted to him, by ASI Ashok Kumar, Investigating Officer (IO), submits that the entire investigations into the offences (supra), as, carried in the FIR (supra), are complete, and, that no further cooperation of the bail applicant / petitioner, is required, in the relevant investigations, and, that a report under Section 173 of the Cr.P.C. has also been filed, before the learned Court concerned.

He also, on instructions, meted to him, by the IO concerned, submits that all the relevant recoveries at the instance of the bail applicant / petitioner, stand

effected, to the IO concerned. Further, he also submits that the injured / victim - complainant has fully recuperated from the injuries, as suffered upon his person, in sequel, to his, becoming assaulted by the bail applicant.

4. Bearing in mind the afore, and, also bearing in mind the fact that the bail applicant is suffering judicial incarceration since 10.06.2019. Therefore, it is not deemed fit to order for any prolongation of the judicial incarceration of the petitioner. Contrarily, it is deemed appropriate to admit to bail, the bail petitioner.

5. Therefore, the instant petition is allowed, and, the petitioner-bail applicant is ordered to be released from judicial custody. However, the granting of bail to the bail applicant-petitioner, is subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- each, before the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, and, also subject to his not tampering with prosecution evidence, and, his not influencing prosecution witnesses, and, besides also his appearing before the trial Court concerned, as and when directed to make his personal appearance.

6. However, in case the bail applicant-petitioner, abuses the facility of bail, granted to him by this Court, thereupon the investigating officer concerned shall make a motion before this Court, for cancelling the facility of bail, as is granted to the petitioner, through the order made today by this Court.

February 09, 2022
'dk kamra'

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>