

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3262-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.10.2022

ASHWIN SINGH

.. Petitioner

Vs.

PUNAM NANDWANI

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. H. S. Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner/defendant has filed this revision petition to challenge the order dated 27.10.2021 (Annexure P-4) passed by the District Judge, Rewari, whereby the Appellate Court while issuing notice to the defendant in the plaintiff's application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC, stayed the alienation of the suit property.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the trial Court vide order dated 19.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) declined the request of the plaintiff (respondent) for grant of ad interim injunction and granted time to the petitioner for filing written statement. He submits that against the said order, plaintiff preferred the appeal, wherein the impugned order has been passed by the District Judge, Rewari.

Heard.

Considering the nature of the orders passed by the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Rewari as well as District Judge, Rewari, this Court finds that none of the Courts have given any observation on merits of the application filed by the plaintiff, therefore, this Court is not inclined to

entertain this revision petition, as the defendant (petitioner) can raise this issue before the trial Court or the Appellate Court.

Dismissed.

21.10.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No