

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23969 of 2022
Date of Decision:-18.10.2022**

Deepak

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that vide order 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) at page 44 of the writ petition the village at Sr.No.23 Tigaon Adhana Patti has been mentioned against the category of scheduled caste, however, benefit of reservation has not been given as it has shown to be reserved once.

Counsel for the State has clarified that as per the order at page 44, five villages have been reserved for SC category and the last village reserved having population of 27.45 percentage population and the petitioner's village has the population of 12.75.

The grievance of the petitioner is that it was never reserved for

once and wrongly shown as reserved once. This fact has been clarified by the counsel for the State that earlier village Tigaon Adhana Patti was part of village Tigaon at Sr.No.22. After bifurcation. Tigaon was also reserved once when it was clubbed together and both villages have shown as reserved one time in order at page 44 of paper book.

In view of the above, there is no merits in the present petition.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

October 18, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No