

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41023-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.01.2022**

Vijay Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Pankaj Shukla, Advocate, for
Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing *via* Webex facility due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and as per instructions.

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0044, dated 02.08.2019, under Section 498-A, 406 and 323 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Women, District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 24.09.2019, arrest of the petitioner was stayed and the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the petitioner-husband was directed to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- to the respondent-wife on her appearance before the Mediation Centre as litigation expenses. Thereafter, this case remained pending and there are

numerous interim orders therein. On 07.07.2021, while considering the request of counsel for the petitioner for another opportunity to appear before the Mediation Centre to settle the dispute between the parties, this Court directed to the petitioner for giving a concrete proposal for full and final settlement of permanent alimony in the form of his affidavit and the case was adjourned to 10.08.2021. Thereafter on 10.08.2021, the petitioner submitted that he is ready to settle the dispute by paying the sum of Rs.2,00,000/- to the complainant and the interim order remained continued. However till date from the conduct of the petitioner, it can be easily inferred that he has no inclination to comply with the orders of the Court. Rather learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the petitioner is already in Civil Jail in the proceedings pending between the husband and wife under Section 125 of the Cr.P.C.

In the over all facts and circumstances of the case, I am convinced that the petitioner is not keen in complying with the orders of the Court and therefore, no ground is made for grant of anticipatory bail to him.

Petition stands dismissed.

18.01.2022*Apurva***(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No