

210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51739-2021
Decided on: 05.01.2022

Mayank Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The petitioner, apprehending arrest in FIR No.117 dated 04.06.2021, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B, 506 & 325 IPC at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad, came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 13.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 20.12.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. The above said fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Prem Dutt. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has also opposed the bail.

6. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required.

Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 13.12.2021, is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so. Failure to do so, complainant as well as State are at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

05.01.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether reportable	Yes /No