

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

127

CWP-23717-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: October 17, 2022

BALBIR SINGH AND ORS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Arvind Seth, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are working as daily wagers, had earlier been terminated from service. They had challenged the orders of termination before the Labour Court and the Labour Court had directed their reinstatement with continuity of service. The award of the Labour Court has attained finality as the writ petition bearing CWP-25418-2013 there against was dismissed by the Single Bench and the LPA-187-2014 was also dismissed by the Division Bench. He also submits that despite the orders of the Court in favour of the petitioners, the respondents are forcing the petitioners to sign the offer of deployment through Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited. The petitioners apprehend that in case they signed the offer of deployment, the benefit of continuity of service, which had been granted by the orders of the Court, would be denied to them. He also submits that the petitioners have not been paid their salaries.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and upon instructions from Mr. Hardeep Singh, Senior Accounts Officer, submits that the petitioners are being deployed through Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited in terms of the policy of the Nigam. The benefit of continuity of service as directed by the orders of the Court would not be denied to the petitioners. He also submits that in the event of the petitioners completing the formalities for their deployment, the salaries would be released to the petitioners forthwith.

In the light of the submission of learned counsel for respondent No.2, the grievance of the petitioners appears to be redressed to a considerable extent.

Consequently, the petition stands disposed of at this stage.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 17, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No