

COCP-2232-2022

Date of Decision :12.12.2022

Rakesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

Preeti Yadav and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 in CWP-17072-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that while issuing notice of motion in CWP-17072-2022, for 13.12.2022, the learned State counsel was directed to inform the appropriate quarters to accept payment in case it was made within seven days and in case payment was made within seven days, eviction of the petitioner would remain stayed.

3. On 17.10.2022, learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for time to prepare and argue the case, whereupon the matter was adjourned to 01.12.2022. On 01.12.2022, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners requested for an adjournment on account of personal difficulty of the learned arguing counsel, whereupon the matter was adjourned for today.

4. Today, despite the case having been called out twice, none is present on behalf of the petitioners. In the circumstances, it appears that the

petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition. Accordingly, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petition pending.

5. In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the contempt petition if cause subsists.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.12.2022

rajesh

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>