

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-51719-2021

Date of Decision:-01.04.2022

Bunty @ Daljit Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, advocate for the Complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition is for the grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.PC in case FIR No.41 dated 03.03.2020 under Sections 307, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 & 27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station C-Division, Amritsar.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he fired a pistol shot on the stomach of Rohit son of Sukhdev Singh, complainant.

The Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on account of a conspiracy hatched by the complainant. He submits that undoubtedly he is the main accused but his co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail though the co-accused have not been attributed any injury. He submits that with the intervention of respectable of both sides a compromise has been effected and the same is placed on record as Annexure P-2. He further submits that a quashing petition on the basis of compromise was filed but

the same has been dismissed, however, the present case is for grant of regular bail.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate appearing for the complainant admitted the factum of compromise.

Rohit-injured witness of the occurrence has appeared in person and on being asked has stated that the compromise has been effected wilfully without any coercion or pressure.

I have heard counsel for both the sides.

The petitioner is in custody since 3.09.2021. The report under Section 173 Cr.PC has already been submitted and admittedly a compromise has been effected between the parties.

In view of the above and the factum that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner incarcerated moreso when a settlement has been arrived at.

Therefore, without commenting upon the merits of the case, keeping in view the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner **Bunty @ Daljit Singh** is ordered to be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, Amritsar.

It is further made clear that if petitioner indulges in similar offence for which he is currently charged, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 01, 2022

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>